

On a Conjecture of Jackson on Non-homogeneous Quadratic Forms

R. P. BAMBAH, V. C. DUMIR, AND R. J. HANS-GILL

Centre for Advanced Study in Mathematics, Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India

Communicated by R. P. Bambah

Received May 27, 1981

Here we prove the following modification of a conjecture of Jackson (*J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 3 (1971), 47-58) for indefinite quadratic forms of signature 0, ± 1 or ± 2 . Let $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a real indefinite quadratic form of determinant $D \neq 0$. Let $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/n}$. For any real numbers a_1, \dots, a_n , there exist $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv (a_1, \dots, a_n) \pmod{1}$ such that

$$|Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \alpha| \leq |D|^{1/n}.$$

In particular, the proof shows that we can find $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv (a_1, \dots, a_n) \pmod{1}$ such that

$$0 < Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq 2|D|^{1/n}.$$

For forms of signature zero this result is also the best possible.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an indefinite quadratic form with real coefficients and determinant $D \neq 0$. Jackson [15] has proved that if $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a zero form, then for any real numbers a_1, \dots, a_n and α , there exist $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv (a_1, \dots, a_n) \pmod{1}$ such that

$$|Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \alpha| \leq |D|^{1/n}. \quad (1.1)$$

He conjectured that the result is probably true for all indefinite quadratic forms in $n \geq 4$ variables. Jackson also gave an example of an indefinite ternary quadratic form for which (1.1) does not hold for some α . It follows from the results of Blaney [4] that there are indefinite binary quadratic forms Q and real numbers α for which (1.1) is not solvable.

We observe that the results of Blaney [4] also show that (1.1) is solvable for all indefinite binary quadratic forms if $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/2}$ (see Lemma 4). The results of Dumir [10] show that for indefinite ternary forms, (1.1) is solvable

if $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/3}$ (see Lemma 14). We are thus led to consider Jackson's conjecture with the restriction $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/n}$.

More precisely we consider

CONJECTURE A. *Let $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a real indefinite quadratic form of determinant $D \neq 0$. Let $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/n}$. For any real numbers a_1, \dots, a_n there exist $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv (a_1, \dots, a_n) \pmod{1}$ such that*

$$|Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \alpha| \leq |D|^{1/n}. \quad (1.2)$$

In this paper we shall prove

THEOREM 1. *Conjecture A is true for indefinite quadratic forms of signature 0, ± 1 or ± 2 .*

Our proof will show that for non-zero forms of signature 0, ± 1 or ± 2 , (1.2) holds with strict inequality. Combining with the result of Jackson [15], we have the following

THEOREM 2. *Let $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a real indefinite quadratic form of determinant $D \neq 0$ and signature $\sigma = 0, \pm 1$, or ± 2 . Let $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 2|D|^{1/n}$. Then given any real numbers a_1, \dots, a_n there exist $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv (a_1, \dots, a_n) \pmod{1}$ such that*

$$-\alpha < Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \beta. \quad (1.3)$$

For each such σ equality is needed in (1.3) for certain forms and suitable α and β .

Let $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a real indefinite quadratic form of type (r, s) , $0 < r < n$ and determinant $D \neq 0$. Blaney [3] has proved that there exist constants Γ independent of Q , such that, for any real numbers a_1, \dots, a_n , there exist $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv (a_1, \dots, a_n) \pmod{1}$ satisfying

$$0 < Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq (\Gamma |D|)^{1/n}.$$

Let $\Gamma_{r,s}$ denote the greatest lower bound of all such constants Γ . Davenport and Heilbronn [17] showed that $\Gamma_{1,1} = 4$. $\Gamma_{2,1} = 4$ was proved independently by Blaney [5] and Barnes [1]. Dumir [8, 9] proved that $\Gamma_{1,2} = 8$, $\Gamma_{2,2} = 16$ and $\Gamma_{3,1} = 16/3$. Dumir and Hans-Gill [11] have shown that $\Gamma_{1,3} = 16$. Hans-Gill and Raka [12, 13] have proved that $\Gamma_{3,2} = 16$ and $\Gamma_{4,1} = 8$.

From Theorem 2 we can immediately deduce the following

THEOREM 3. (a) *For all positive integers r, s with $r + s = n$ and $r - s = 0, \pm 1$ or ± 2 , we have*

$$\Gamma_{r,s} \leq 2^n.$$

(b) $\Gamma_{r,r} = 2^n$ for all $n = 2r \geq 2$.

The first part is the special case $\alpha = 0$ of Theorem 2. For the second part we observe that equality is necessary for the form $x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2 - x_{r+1}^2 - \dots - x_{2r}^2$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_{2r}) = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$.

We have also obtained precise values of $\Gamma_{r,s}$ for signatures $\pm 1, 2$ and 3 , which we hope to publish in due course.

2. AN AUXILIARY RESULT

If Q is an indefinite quadratic form in $n \geq 3$ variables which takes arbitrarily small non-zero values, then using the results of Oppenheim [17], Watson [20] has proved that we can solve (1.1) for all α , in fact with any $\varepsilon > 0$ in place of $|D|^{1/n}$. So even the unrestricted Jackson conjecture is true for such forms. Thus for $n \geq 3$, in order to prove Theorem 1, it is enough to consider non-zero forms Q which do not take arbitrarily small values, i.e., for which the homogeneous minimum $m_H(Q) > 0$, where

$$m_H(Q) = \inf\{|Q(X)| : X \in \mathbb{Z}^n, X \neq 0\}.$$

Replacing Q by $-Q$, if necessary, we can suppose that the signature of Q is non-negative.

We shall deduce Theorem 1 from the following:

THEOREM 4. *Let $n \geq \sigma + 4$. Suppose the following conditions hold*

I. *For any indefinite quadratic form Q in variables, with signature σ and determinant $D \neq 0$, for which $m_H(Q) > 0$, we have*

$$Q \sim \psi(x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots, x_2 + a_{23}x_3 + \dots) + Q'(x_3, \dots, x_n), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\psi(x, y)$ is an indefinite binary quadratic form of determinant d with $|d|^{n/2} < |D|$.

II. *Conjecture A is true for indefinite quadratic forms in $n - 2$ variables with signature σ .*

Then (1.2) is true with strict inequality for forms Q in n variables, with signature σ , for which $m_H(Q) > 0$.

In this section we shall prove Theorem 4 and in the following sections we

shall show that the hypotheses of Theorem 4 are satisfied for forms of signature $\sigma = 0, 1$ or 2 , for all $n \geq \sigma + 4$, so that Theorem 1 follows.

We first recollect Lemma 4 of Birch [2].

LEMMA 1. *Let $\phi(x, y)$ be an indefinite binary form of determinant d . Then for any real numbers x_0, y_0 and μ we can find $(x, y) \equiv (x_0, y_0) \pmod{1}$ such that*

$$|\phi(x, y) + \mu| \leq \max \left(\left(\frac{|d|}{2} \right)^{1/2}, |d|^{1/4} |\mu|^{1/2} \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an indefinite real quadratic form of determinant D and signature σ and $m_H(Q) > 0$. Since (2.1) holds, we can suppose without loss of generality that

$$Q = \psi(x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots, x_2 + a_{23}x_3 + \dots) + Q'(x_3, \dots, x_n).$$

Then $Q'(x_3, \dots, x_n)$ is an indefinite form in $(n-2)$ variables, with signature σ and determinant D/d . Since $|d|^n < |D|^2$, we have

$$|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/n} < \left| \frac{D}{d} \right|^{1/(n-2)}.$$

Let a_1, \dots, a_n be any given real numbers. By hypothesis (II) there exist $(x_3, \dots, x_n) \equiv (a_3, \dots, a_n) \pmod{1}$ such that

$$|Q'(x_3, \dots, x_n) - \alpha| \leq \left| \frac{D}{d} \right|^{1/(n-2)}.$$

By Lemma 1 with $\phi(x, y) = \psi(x + a_{12}y, y)$, $\mu = Q'(x_3, \dots, x_n) - \alpha$, $y_0 = a_2 + a_{23}x_3 + \dots$, $x_0 + a_{12}y_0 = a_1 + a_{12}a_2 + a_{13}x_3 + \dots$, we can find $(x, y) \equiv (x_0, y_0) \pmod{1}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(x, y) + \mu| &\leq \max \left(\left(\frac{|d|}{2} \right)^{1/2}, |d|^{1/4} |\mu|^{1/2} \right) \\ &\leq \max \left(\left(\frac{|d|}{2} \right)^{1/2}, |d|^{1/4} \left| \frac{D}{d} \right|^{1/2(n-2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exist $(x_1, x_2) \equiv (a_1, a_2) \pmod{1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \alpha| &= |\phi(x, y) + \mu| \\ &\leq \max \left(\left(\frac{|d|}{2} \right)^{1/2}, |d|^{1/4} \left| \frac{D}{d} \right|^{1/2(n-2)} \right) < |D|^{1/n}, \end{aligned}$$

because $|d|^n < |D|^2$. This proves Theorem 4.

3. FORMS OF SIGNATURE ZERO

Here we shall use the following:

LEMMA 2. Let $\phi(x, y)$ be an indefinite binary quadratic form of discriminant $\Delta^2 (\Delta > 0)$. Let $\rho > 0$, $\sigma > 0$, $\rho\sigma > 1/16$. Then given any x_0, y_0 there exist $(x, y) \equiv (x_0, y_0) \pmod{1}$ such that

$$-\sigma\Delta < \phi(x, y) < \rho\Delta.$$

This is due to Davenport [6].

LEMMA 3. Let $\phi(x, y)$ be an indefinite quadratic form of discriminant $\Delta^2 (\Delta > 0)$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq 1/3$. Then given any x_0, y_0 there exist $(x, y) \equiv (x_0, y_0) \pmod{1}$ such that

$$-\frac{\mu\Delta}{\{(1+\mu)(1+9\mu)\}^{1/2}} < \phi(x, y) \leq \frac{\Delta}{\{(1+\mu)(1+9\mu)\}^{1/2}}.$$

Further strict inequality holds for $\mu = 0$ if ϕ is a non-zero form.

This is due to Blaney [4].

LEMMA 4. Conjecture A is true for indefinite binary forms. Further (1.2) holds with strict inequality for non-zero forms.

Proof. Let $\phi(x, y)$ be an indefinite binary form of determinant D and discriminant $\Delta^2 = -4D (\Delta > 0)$. Let $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/2} = \Delta/2$. We have to show that given any x_0, y_0 there exist $(x, y) \equiv (x_0, y_0) \pmod{1}$ such that

$$|\phi(x, y) - \alpha| \leq |D|^{1/2} = \Delta/2.$$

On replacing ϕ by $-\phi$, if necessary, we can suppose $\alpha \geq 0$. Let $\alpha = t\Delta$, so that $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$. Thus we have to solve

$$-(\tfrac{1}{2} - t)\Delta \leq \phi(x, y) \leq (\tfrac{1}{2} + t)\Delta \quad (3.1)$$

for $(x, y) \equiv (x_0, y_0) \pmod{1}$.

If $0 \leq t \leq 1/4$, then $(\frac{1}{2} + t)(\frac{1}{2} - t) = \frac{1}{4} - t^2 > 1/16$, so that (3.1) is solvable by Lemma 2. If $1/4 < t \leq 1/2$, then taking $\mu = (\frac{1}{2} - t)(\frac{1}{2} + t)^{-1}$ in Lemma 3, we can find $(x, y) \equiv (x_0, y_0) \pmod{1}$ such that

$$-\frac{(\tfrac{1}{2} - t)\Delta}{(5 - 8t)^{1/2}} < \phi(x, y) \leq \frac{(\tfrac{1}{2} + t)\Delta}{(5 - 8t)^{1/2}}.$$

Since $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $(5 - 8t)^{1/2} \geq 1$ and (3.1) is satisfied. Further, strict inequality holds unless $t = 1/2$, i.e., $\mu = 0$. Also if $\mu = 0$ and ϕ is a non-zero form, strict inequality holds in Lemma 3 and hence here also. This proves Lemma 4.

LEMMA 5. *Hypothesis I of Theorem 4 is satisfied for quadratic forms Q in $n \geq 4$ variables of signature zero and determinant $D \neq 0$ with $m_H(Q) > 0$.*

This follows from Lemmas 9, 10 and 11 of Birch [2].

COROLLARY 1. *Conjecture A is true for forms of signature zero.*

In view of our earlier remarks this follows from Theorem 4 using induction on n and Lemmas 4 and 5.

4. HYPOTHESIS I FOR FORMS OF SIGNATURE 1 OR 2

In this section we shall prove that Hypothesis I of Theorem 4 is satisfied for forms of signature 1 or 2. We shall use the following lemmas:

LEMMA 6. *Let $f(X) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a quadratic form of determinant $D \neq 0$ and let $F(Y)$ be its adjoint form. Let $A = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with g.c.d. $(u_1, \dots, u_n) = 1$ and $F(A) \neq 0$. Then*

$$f \sim f_0(x_1 + \dots, \dots, x_{n-1} + \dots) + cx_n^2,$$

where $f_0(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$ is a form of determinant $F(A)$.

Proof. Let $f(X) = X'MX$, then $F(Y) = (\det M) Y'M^{-1}Y$. Let T be a unimodular matrix such that $(0, \dots, 0, 1)T = A'$. Let $g(X) = f(T^{-1}X)$. Then $G(Y) = \text{adj } g = F(T'Y)$, so that $F(A) = G(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} f \sim g &= g(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) + 2(a_{1n}x_1 + \dots + a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1})x_n + a_{nn}x_n^2 \\ &= g_0(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + 2(a_{1n}x_1 + \dots + a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1})x_n + a_{nn}x_n^2, \end{aligned}$$

$\det g_0(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \det g(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) = G(0, \dots, 0, 1) = F(A) \neq 0$. Now if

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\sim \lambda_1(x_1 + \dots)^2 + \lambda_2(x_2 + \dots)^2 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}^2 \\ &= f_0(x_1 + \dots, \dots, x_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_0(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \lambda_1 y_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} y_{n-1}^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, \dots, x_n) &\sim \lambda_1(x_1 + \dots)^2 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}^2 \\ &\quad + 2(a_{1n}x_1 + \dots + a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1})x_n + a_{nn}x_n^2 \\ &= \lambda_1(x_1 + \dots + \beta_1x_n)^2 + \lambda_2(x_2 + \dots + \beta_2x_n)^2 \\ &\quad + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}(x_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}x_n)^2 + cx_n^2 \\ &= f_0(x_1 + \dots + \beta_1x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}x_n) + cx_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\det f_0 = \det g_0 = f(A)$.

This proves the Lemma.

Remark 1. Let f be a form and F be its adjoint. If F is a multiple of a zero rational form so is f . To see this we notice that if F is a multiple of a rational form then so is $\text{adj } F$ and since $\text{adj } F = (\det f)^{n-2} f$, f is a multiple of a rational form. Now suppose $f = X'MX$, where we can for simplicity suppose that M is a rational matrix. Then $F = (\det M) X'M^{-1}X$. If $F(A) = 0$, where $0 \neq A \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $f(M^{-1}A) = 0$ and f has the rational zero $M^{-1}A$.

LEMMA 7. *If $Q(x, y, z)$ is a ternary quadratic form of type $(2, 1)$ and determinant $D \neq 0$, then there exist integers u, v, w with $(u, v, w) = 1$ such that*

$$0 < Q(u, v, w) \leq \left(\frac{4}{3} |D|\right)^{1/2},$$

except when $Q \sim \rho Q_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$, where Q_i are certain zero rational forms.

This follows from Theorem 2 of Watson [21].

LEMMA 8. *Let $f(x, y, z)$ be a non-zero ternary quadratic form of type $(2, 1)$ and determinant $\Delta < 0$. Then*

$$f \sim \psi(x + \dots, y + \dots) + cz^2,$$

where $\psi(x, y)$ is an indefinite binary quadratic form of determinant d such that

$$|d|^{3/2} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} |\Delta|.$$

Proof. Let $F = \text{adj } f$, then $-F$ is a ternary form of type $(2, 1)$ of determinant $-\Delta^2$. Since f is a non-zero form, it follows by Remark 1 that

$-F \not\sim \rho Q_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$. Therefore by Lemma 7 there exist integers u, v, w with $(u, v, w) = 1$ and

$$0 < -F(u, v, w) \leq \left(\frac{4}{3} |A|^2 \right)^{1/3} = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} |A| \right)^{2/3}.$$

Now the result follows from Lemma 6.

LEMMA 9. *Let $f(x, y, z, u)$ be a non-zero quaternary form of type $(3, 1)$ and determinant $D < 0$. Then*

$$f \sim \psi(x + \dots, y + \dots) + a(z + \dots)^2 + bu^2,$$

where $\psi(x, y)$ is an indefinite binary form of determinant d with

$$|d|^2 \leq \left(\frac{16}{9} \right)^{1/3} |D|. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Let $F = \text{adj } f$. Since f is a non-zero form, F is not a multiple of a zero rational form. Thus either F is a zero incommensurable form or a non-zero form. Hence by Oppenheim [18] and Jackson [16] it follows that there exist integers x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0 with g.c.d. $(x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0) = 1$ satisfying

$$0 < -F(x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0) < |D|^{3/4}. \quad (4.2)$$

By Lemma 6

$$f \sim g(x + \dots, y + \dots, z + \dots) + bu^2 = f_0(x, y, z, u),$$

where $\det g = F(x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0) < 0$, so that $g_0(x, y, z) = f_0(x, y, z, 0)$ is a $(2, 1)$ form. Further, it is a non-zero form since f is so. Also $\det g = \det g_0$. By (4.2)

$$|\det g_0|^{4/3} = |F(x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0)|^{4/3} < |D|. \quad (4.3)$$

By Lemma 8

$$g_0(x, y, z) \sim \psi(x + \dots, y + \dots) + az^2,$$

where ψ is an indefinite binary form of determinant d with

$$|d|^{3/2} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} |\det g_0|. \quad (4.4)$$

Thus

$$f \sim \psi(x + \dots, y + \dots) + a(z + \dots)^2 + bu^2,$$

and from (4.3) and (4.4) we have

$$|d|^2 = |\det \psi|^2 \leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} |\det g_0| \right)^{4/3} < \left(\frac{16}{9} \right)^{1/3} |D|.$$

This proves Lemma 9.

Now to verify Hypothesis I of Theorem 4, we follow Birch [2], but give details for sake of clarity and completeness. We describe the process for the quadratic forms of any signature $\sigma \geq 0$ in $n \geq \sigma + 4$ variables.

Let $Q_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a non-zero form of signature $\sigma \geq 0$ and determinant $D \neq 0$ with $b = m_H(Q_n) > 0$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{16}$ be sufficiently small. There exist integers u_1, \dots, u_n such that $\text{g.c.d.}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = 1$ and $Q_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = v$, such that

$$b \leq |v| < b(1 + \varepsilon). \quad (4.5)$$

By a unimodular transformation we can suppose that $Q_n(1, 0, \dots, 0) = v$, so that

$$Q_n \sim v(x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots)^2 - Q_{n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

One can easily see that $m_H(Q_{n-1}) > 0$. Let

$$a = \inf\{(\text{sgn } v) Q_{n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, (\text{sgn } v) Q_{n-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n) > 0\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Then, as remarked above, $a > 0$. As before

$$Q_{n-1} \sim u(x_2 + \dots)^2 - Q_{n-2},$$

where $a \leq (\text{sgn } v) u = |u| < a(1 + \varepsilon)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n &\sim v(x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots)^2 - u(x_2 + \dots)^2 + Q_{n-2}(x_3, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \psi(x_1 + \dots, x_2 + \dots) + Q_{n-2}(x_3, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where $\psi(x, y) = vx^2 - uy^2$ is an indefinite binary form of determinant $\delta = -uv$ with $|\delta| < ab(1 + \varepsilon)^2$ and Q_{n-2} is a quadratic form of signature σ with $m_H(Q_{n-2}) > 0$. If $n - 2 \geq \sigma + 4$, we can repeat the above procedure.

LEMMA 10. Let $Q_4(x, y, z, t)$ be a quadratic form of signature 0 with $m_H(Q_4) > 0$ and if we write

$$Q_4(x, y, z, t) \sim \psi_1(x + \dots, y + \dots) + \psi_2(z, t),$$

where ψ_1 is obtained from Q_4 by the above process, then

$$|\det \psi_1| \leq \frac{25}{36} |\det \psi_2|. \quad (4.8)$$

This follows from Lemmas 7, 8 and 9 of Birch [2].

LEMMA 11. Let $F(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be an indefinite quadratic form of signature $\sigma \geq 0$ with $m_H(F) > 0$. Suppose

$$F \sim \psi_1(x_1 + \dots, x_2 + \dots) + G(x_3, \dots, x_m),$$

and

$$G(x_3, \dots, x_m) \sim \psi_2(x_3 + \dots, x_4 + \dots) + H(x_5, \dots, x_m),$$

where $\psi_1 = v(x_1 + \dots)^2 - u(x_2 + \dots)^2$ is obtained by the procedure described above and ψ_2 is an indefinite binary form. Then

$$|\det \psi_1| \leq \frac{25}{36} |\det \psi_2|.$$

Proof. By hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} F &\sim \psi_1(x_1 + \dots, x_2 + \dots) + \psi_2(x_3 + \dots, x_4 + \dots) + H(x_5, \dots, x_m) \\ &= F_0(x_1, \dots, x_m), \end{aligned}$$

say. Consider

$$Q_4 = F_0(x_1, \dots, x_4, 0, \dots, 0) = \psi_1(x_1 + \dots, x_2 + \dots) + \psi_2(x_3 + \gamma x_4, x_4).$$

Q_4 is a quaternary form of signature 0 with $m_H(Q_4) > 0$. We now claim that while following the procedure discussed in the beginning of the section for Q_4 , we can take $\psi_1(x_1 + \dots, x_2 + \dots)$ as its first binary section. Let $b' = m_H(Q_4)$. Then since $Q_4(1, 0, \dots, 0) = v$ and every value of Q_4 is a value of F_0 and hence of F , we have

$$b \leq b' \leq |v| < b(1 + \varepsilon) \leq b'(1 + \varepsilon),$$

where $b = m_H(F)$. Thus we can choose v to be the leading coefficient of Q_4 . Thus

$$Q_4 = v(x_1 + \dots + \alpha_{14}x_4)^2 - Q_3(x_2, x_3, x_4),$$

where

$$Q_3(x_2, x_3, x_4) = u(x_2 + \dots)^2 - \psi_2(x_3, x_4).$$

Let

$$a' = \inf\{(\operatorname{sgn} v) Q_3(x_2, x_3, x_4) : x_i \text{ integers, } (\operatorname{sgn} v) Q_3 > 0\}.$$

Every value of Q_3 is a value of $F_0 - v(x_1 + \dots)^2$ and since $Q_3(1, 0, 0) = u$, we have

$$a \leq a' \leq (\operatorname{sgn} v) u = |u| < a(1 + \varepsilon) \leq a'(1 + \varepsilon),$$

so that we again choose u as the leading coefficient of Q_3 , thus proving our claim. By Lemma 10, we have

$$|\det \psi_1| \leq \frac{25}{36} |\det \psi_2|.$$

This proves Lemma 11.

LEMMA 12. Let $Q_n = Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an indefinite form in $n \geq 5$ variables of signature 1 and determinant $D \neq 0$, with $m_H(Q_n) > 0$. Then

$$Q_n \sim \psi_1(x_1 + \dots, x_2 + \dots) + Q_{n-2}(x_3, \dots, x_n),$$

where $\psi_1(x, y)$ is an indefinite binary form of determinant d , such that

$$|d|^{n/2} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{5}{6} \right)^{(n-3)(n+1)/4} |D| < |D|.$$

Proof. Following the general procedure described above, we have

$$Q_n \sim \psi_1(x_1 + \dots, x_2 + \dots) + Q_{n-2}(x_3, \dots, x_n).$$

If $n - 2 \geq 5$, we repeat the above process and get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n &\sim \psi_1(x_1 + \dots, x_2 + \dots) + \dots + \psi_{(n-3)/2}(x_{n-4} + \dots, x_{n-3} + \dots) \\ &\quad + Q_3(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_3(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n)$ is a ternary form of type $(2, 1)$ which does not represent arbitrarily small values. Let its determinant be Δ . Then by Lemma 8

$$Q_3 \sim \psi_{(n-1)/2}(x_{n-2} + \dots, x_{n-1} + \dots) + cx_n^2,$$

where $\psi_{(n-1)/2}$ is an indefinite binary form of determinant $\delta_{(n-1)/2}$ satisfying

$$|\delta_{(n-1)/2}|^{3/2} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} |\Delta|. \quad (4.9)$$

Let $\det \psi_i = \delta_i$. On applying Lemma 9 to $\psi_i + \psi_{i+1} + \dots + cx_n^2$, we see that

$$|\delta_i| \leq \frac{25}{36} |\delta_{i+1}|, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-3}{2}. \quad (4.10)$$

Hence from (4.9) and (4.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_1|^{n/2} &= |\delta_1| |\delta_1|^{(n-2)/2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{25}{36} \right)^{(n-2)/2} |\delta_1| |\delta_2|^{(n-2)/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(\frac{25}{36}\right)^{\{(n-2)+(n-4)+\dots+3\}/2} |\delta_1| \cdots |\delta_{(n-3)/2}| |\delta_{(n-1)/2}|^{3/2} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{(n-3)(n+1)/4} |D| \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^3 |D| \quad (\text{since } n \geq 5) \\
&< |D|.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 12.

LEMMA 13. Let $Q_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an indefinite quadratic form in $n \geq 6$ variables of signature 2 and determinant $D \neq 0$, with $m_H(Q_n) > 0$. Then

$$Q_n \sim \psi(x_1 + \dots, x_2 + \dots) + Q_{n-2}(x_3, \dots, x_n),$$

where $\psi(x, y)$ is an indefinite binary form of determinant d with

$$|d|^{n/2} \leq \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{(n-4)(n+2)/4} \left(\frac{16}{9}\right)^{1/3} |D| < |D|.$$

Proof. Proceeding, as in Lemma 12, we have

$$Q_n \sim \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \dots + \psi_{(n-4)/2} + Q_4(x_{n-3}, \dots, x_n),$$

where ψ_i are binary forms and Q_4 is a quaternary form of type (3, 1) and determinant $\Delta \neq 0$ which does not take arbitrarily small values. By Lemma 9

$$Q_4 \sim \psi_{(n-2)/2}(x_{n-3} + \dots, x_{n-2} + \dots) + a(x_{n-1} + \dots)^2 + bx_n^2,$$

where $\psi_{(n-2)/2}(x, y)$ is an indefinite binary form of determinant $\delta_{(n-2)/2}$ satisfying

$$|\delta_{(n-2)/2}|^2 \leq \left(\frac{16}{9}\right)^{1/3} |\Delta|. \quad (4.11)$$

Thus

$$Q_n \sim \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \dots + \psi_{(n-2)/2} + a(x_{n-1} + \dots)^2 + bx_n^2.$$

Then as in Lemma 12, we have

$$|\delta_i| \leq \frac{25}{36} |\delta_{i+1}|, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-4}{2}. \quad (4.12)$$

Hence using (4.11) and (4.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\delta_1|^{n/2} &= |\delta_1| |\delta_1|^{(n-2)/2} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{25}{36}\right)^{(n-2)/2} |\delta_1| |\delta_2|^{(n-2)/2} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{25}{36}\right)^{(n-2)/2 + \dots + 2} |\delta| \dots |\delta_{(n-4)/2}| |\delta_{(n-2)/2}|^2 \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{(n-4)(n+2)/4} \left(\frac{16}{9}\right)^{1/3} |\delta_1| \dots |\delta_{(n-4)/2}| |D| \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^4 \left(\frac{16}{9}\right)^{1/3} |D| \quad (\text{since } n \geq 6) \\
 &< |D|.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 13.

Lemmas 12 and 13 show that Hypothesis I is satisfied for forms with signature 1 or 2.

5. COMPLETION OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

It is clear that Theorem 1 will now follow for forms of signature 1 or 2 by induction if we can prove that Hypothesis II of Theorem 4 is satisfied for forms of type (2, 1) and (3, 1). We prove it in this section. We first prove

LEMMA 14. *Conjecture A is true for indefinite ternary forms of signature 1. Further strict inequality holds in (1.2) for non-zero forms.*

Proof. Let $Q(x, y, z)$ be an indefinite ternary form of signature 1 and determinant $D \neq 0$. It follows from Dumir [10] that, given any $t \geq 0$ and real numbers x_0, y_0, z_0 , there exist $(x, y, z) \equiv (x_0, y_0, z_0) \pmod{1}$, such that

$$-t(f(t)|D|)^{1/3} < Q(x, y, z) \leq (f(t)|D|)^{1/3}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $f(t) = 8/(1+t)^3$; equality is needed only for $t = 7$ and ∞ for some zero forms Q (one can easily verify that the functions stated in Dumir's theorem are $\leq 8/(1+t)^3$). (5.1) implies that

$$\left| Q(x, y, z) - \frac{1-t}{1+t} |D|^{1/3} \right| \leq |D|^{1/3}.$$

Further strict inequality holds if Q is a non-zero form. For every α with $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/3}$, we can choose $0 \leq t \leq \infty$ such that $\alpha = (1-t)(1+t)^{-1} |D|^{1/3}$ and Conjecture A follows for ternary forms.

Before taking up forms of type $(3, 1)$ we observe that for zero forms conjecture *A* follows from Jackson [15]. Thus we need to prove it only for non-zero forms. We do so in

THEOREM 5. *Conjecture A is true for non-zero quaternary quadratic forms of signature 2.*

Proof. Let $Q(x, y, z, u)$ be a quaternary form of type $(3, 1)$ and determinant $D \neq 0$. We have to show that if $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/4}$, then given any real numbers x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0 there exist $(x, y, z, u) \equiv (x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0) \pmod{1}$, such that

$$|Q(x, y, z, u) - \alpha| < |D|^{1/4}. \quad (5.2)$$

By Theorem 1 of Jackson [16] there exist integers x_1, y_1, z_1, u_1 with g.c.d. $(x_1, y_1, z_1, u_1) = 1$, such that

$$0 < Q(x_1, y_1, z_1, u_1) = a < |D|^{1/4}.$$

On replacing Q by an equivalent form, we can suppose that $Q(1, 0, 0, 0) = a$, and write

$$Q = a\{(x + hy + gz + ku)^2 - \phi(y, z, u)\},$$

where $\phi(y, z, u)$ is ternary form of type $(1, 2)$ and determinant $|D| a^{-4} > 1$.

Because of homogeneity it suffices to prove

THEOREM A. *Let $Q(x, y, z, u) = (x + hy + gz + ku)^2 - \phi(y, z, u)$, where $\phi(y, z, u)$ is a ternary form of type $(1, 2)$ and determinant $D > 1$. Let $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/4}$. Then given any real numbers x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0 there exist $(x, y, z, u) \equiv (x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0) \pmod{1}$ such that*

$$|Q(x, y, z, u) - \alpha| < |D|^{1/4}. \quad (5.3)$$

We shall use the following two lemmas in the proof of Theorem A.

LEMMA 15. *Let α, β, d be real numbers with $d > 1$. Let n be the integer given by $n < d \leq n + 1$. Then given any real number x_0 there exists $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{1}$ such that*

$$0 < (x + \alpha)^2 + \beta < d,$$

provided

$$-n^2/4 < \beta < d - \frac{1}{4}.$$

This follows from Lemma 6 of Dumir [9].

LEMMA 16. Let $\phi(y, z, u)$ be a ternary form of type $(1, 2)$ and determinant $D > 0$. Let $0 < t < \frac{1}{5}$ and $g(t) > 256/25(1-t)^3$. Then given any real numbers y_0, z_0, u_0 there exist $(y, z, u) \equiv (y_0, z_0, u_0) \pmod{1}$, such that

$$t(g(t)|D|)^{1/3} < \phi(y, z, u) < (g(t)|D|)^{1/3}.$$

This follows from Theorem 2 of Dumir and Hans-Gill [11] and the Theorem of Jackson [15].

Proof of Theorem A. We have to show that if $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/4}$, there exist $(x, y, z, u) \equiv (x_0, y_0, z_0, u_0) \pmod{1}$ such that $|Q(x, y, z, u) - \alpha| < |D|^{1/4}$, i.e.,

$$0 < (x + hy + gz + ku)^2 - \phi(y, z, u) - \alpha + |D|^{1/4} < 2|D|^{1/4}. \quad (5.4)$$

Let $d = 2|D|^{1/4}$. Since $D > 1$, $d > 2$, so that, if n is the integer such that $n < d \leq n+1$, then $n \geq 2$. By Lemma 15, (5.4) is satisfied if we can find $(y, z, u) \equiv (y_0, z_0, u_0) \pmod{1}$ satisfying

$$-n^2/4 < -\phi(y, z, u) - \alpha + |D|^{1/4} < d - 1/4,$$

or

$$\frac{1}{4} - \frac{d}{2} - \alpha < \phi(y, z, u) < \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{d}{2} - \alpha. \quad (5.5)$$

Note that $|\alpha| \leq |D|^{1/4} = d/2$, so that the right-hand side of (5.5) is positive.

Case i. $\frac{1}{4} - \frac{d}{2} - \alpha \leq 0$.

By Lemma 6, we see that (5.5) is satisfied if

$$\left(\frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{d}{2} - \alpha\right) - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{d}{2} - \alpha\right) = \frac{n^2 - 1}{4} + d > 2|D|^{1/3} = (d^4/2)^{1/3},$$

i.e.,

$$h(d) = (n^2 - 1 + 4d)d^{-4/3} > (32)^{1/3}. \quad (5.6)$$

Since $h(d)$ is a decreasing function of d and $d \leq n+1$, (5.6) is satisfied if

$$h(n+1) = (n+3)/(n+1)^{1/3} > (32)^{1/3}.$$

For $n \geq 2$, $(n+3)/(n+1)^{1/3} \geq 5.3^{-1/3} > (32)^{1/3}$. Hence (5.6) is satisfied and the result follows in Case i.

Case ii. $1/4 - d/2 - \alpha > 0$.

Let

$$t = \frac{1/4 - d/2 - \alpha}{n^2/4 + d/2 - \alpha} = \frac{1 - 2d - 4\alpha}{n^2 + 2d - 4\alpha}.$$

Then $t > 0$. Since $|\alpha| \leq d/2$ and $d > n \geq 2$, we have

$$t = 1 - \frac{n^2 + 4d - 1}{n^2 + 2d - 4\alpha} \leq 1 - \frac{n^2 + 4d - 1}{n^2 + 4d} = \frac{1}{n^2 + 4d} < \frac{1}{9}.$$

Let $g(t) = (n^2/4 + d/2 - \alpha)^3 |D|^{-1}$. Then

$$(1-t)^3 g(t) = \left(\frac{n^2 - 1}{4} + d \right)^3 |D|^{-1} = (n^2 - 1 + 4d)^3 / 4d^4.$$

The right-hand side is a decreasing function of d , and since $d \leq n + 1$ and $n \geq 2$ we have

$$(1-t)^3 g(t) \geq (n+3)^3 / 4(n+1) \geq 125/12 > 256/25.$$

Hence by Lemma 16 there exist $(y, z, u) \equiv (y_0, z_0, u_0) \pmod{1}$ such that

$$t(g(t)|D|)^{1/3} < \phi(y, z, u) < (g(t)|D|)^{1/3},$$

or

$$\frac{1}{4} - \frac{d}{2} - \alpha < \phi(y, z, u) < \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{d}{2} - \alpha.$$

Thus (5.5) is satisfied in Case *ii* also and Theorem A follows.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Madhu Raka for showing us some of her work on symmetric minima of nonhomogeneous indefinite quadratic forms before publication, in particular Lemma 10 of her paper (Raka [19]) which was suggested to her by Professor J. W. S. Cassels.

REFERENCES

1. E. S. BARNES, The positive values of inhomogeneous ternary quadratic forms, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **2** (1961), 127-132.
2. B. J. BIRCH, The inhomogeneous minimum of quadratic forms of signature zero, *Acta Arith.* **3** (1958), 85-98.
3. H. BLANEY, Indefinite quadratic forms in n variables, *J. London Math. Soc.* **23** (1948), 153-160.

4. H. BLANEY, Some asymmetric inequalities, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **46** (1950), 359–376.
5. H. BLANEY, Indefinite ternary quadratic forms, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **1** (1950), 262–269.
6. H. DAVENPORT, Non-homogeneous ternary quadratic forms, *Acta Math.* **80** (1948), 65–95.
7. H. DAVENPORT AND H. HEILBRONN, Asymmetric inequalities for nonhomogeneous linear forms, *J. London Math. Soc.* **22** (1947), 53–61.
8. V. C. DUMIR, Asymmetric inequality for non-homogeneous ternary quadratic forms, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **63** (1967), 291–303.
9. V. C. DUMIR, Positive values of inhomogeneous quaternary quadratic forms I and II, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **8** (1968), 87–101, 287–303.
10. V. C. DUMIR, Asymmetric inequality for non-homogeneous ternary quadratic forms, *J. Number Theory* **1** (1969), 326–345.
11. V. C. DUMIR AND R. J. HANS-GILL, On positive values of non-homogeneous quaternary quadratic forms of type (1, 3), *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **12** (1981), 814–825.
12. R. J. HANS-GILL AND M. RAKA, Positive values of inhomogeneous 5-ary quadratic forms of type (3, 2), *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **29** (1980), 439–453.
13. R. J. HANS-GILL AND M. RAKA, Positive values of inhomogeneous quinary quadratic forms of type (4, 1), *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **31** (1981), 175–188.
14. T. H. JACKSON, Small positive values of indefinite quadratic forms, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **1** (1969), 643–659.
15. T. H. JACKSON, Gaps between the values of quadratic polynomials, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **3** (1971), 47–58.
16. T. H. JACKSON, One sided inequalities for quaternary forms, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **23** (1971), 267–282.
17. A. OPPENHEIM, Values of quadratic forms, I, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **4** (1953), 54–59.
18. A. OPPENHEIM, Values of quadratic forms, III, *Monatsch. Math.* **57** (1953), 97–101.
19. M. RAKA, The inhomogeneous minimum of quadratic forms of signature ± 1 , *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **89** (1981), 225–235.
20. G. L. WATSON, Indefinite quadratic polynomials, *Mathematika* **7** (1960), 141–144.
21. G. L. WATSON, Asymmetric inequalities for indefinite quadratic forms, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **18** (1968), 95–113.